

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

IPK-022780-US

Appl. No. 10/628,935  
Amdt. Dated : September 11, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of March 10, 2006

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 2, 10, 16 and 25 are cancelled. Claims 1, 3-9, 11-15, 17-24, and 26 are pending. Favorable consideration is respectfully requested in light of the amendment above combined with the remarks below.

Applicants thank Examiner Elkins for his remarks in the outstanding Office Action regarding helpful insights to correct the claims in a manner that obviates the 112, second paragraph, rejections. Further, Applicants thank Examiner Elkins for indicating that Claims 2-8, 10-14, 16-20, 21-24, and 26 are allowable if amended to overcome the 112, second paragraph, rejections and to include all of the limitations of the base claim. Applicants have amended Claim 1 to include the embodiments of allowable Claim 2 and cancelled Claim 2. Applicants have amended Claim 9 to include the embodiments of allowable Claim 10 and cancelled Claim 10. Applicants have amended Claim 15 to include the embodiments of allowable Claim 16 and cancelled Claim 16. Applicants have cancelled Claim 25. Applicants have further amended Claims 3, 11, and 17 to change dependency to Claims 1, 9, and 15, respectively. Applicants have further amended Claims 1, 15, 21-24 and 26 for clarity. Support for these amendments is found throughout the originally filed specification, Drawings and claims. No new matter is believed to be introduced by these amendments.

It should be noted that Applicants have cancelled all non-allowable subject matter, leaving only the subject matter that has been deemed allowable by the Office in the outstanding Office Action. Applicants have done so in a manner to expedite efficient prosecution and allowance to grant to patent of such allowable subject matter. Applicants make no mention of the merits of the rejections in the outstanding Office Action, but reserve the right to pursue subject matter similar to that of the originally filed claims in a subsequent Continuation Application at a later date.

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

SEP 11 2006

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**


IPK-022780-US

Appl. No. 10/628,935  
Amdt. Dated : September 11, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of March 10, 2006

The rejection of Claims 2-10 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, is believed to be obviated by the amendments to Claims 1, 15, 21-24 and 26 above. In these amendments, Applicants believe that they have adequately addressed to clarify the double inclusion of side panels in Claim 1, as well as the plurality of each respective element that appear to have caused confusion. Applicants apologize for such confusion; and, believe that the above-mentioned amendments clarify the claims with respect to each question element therein. Accordingly, withdrawal of these grounds of rejection is respectfully requested.

Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested. Should anything further be required to place this application in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact below-signed by telephone.

Please charge the amount of **\$1020.00** required for the request for extension of time to our Deposit Account No. 09-0525. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 09-0525. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time.

Correspondence Client Number: 01726	Respectfully Submitted,  Thomas W. Barnes III, Ph.D.	
(513) 248-6736 (phone) (513) 248-6445 (fax)	Registration No.	52,595